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## Problem 1 (Model selection and cross-validation):

Suppose we are given a noise-free set of points $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset(-1,1), Y=\left\{\sin \left(x_{i}\right)\right\}$, which we want to fit with a polynomial, but we do not know which degree to choose. Suppose our candidate polynomial families are $\mathcal{P}_{k}=\left\{\mathbb{P}_{2 i+1}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$, where $\mathbb{P}_{2 i+1}$ denotes the family of polynomials with real-valued coefficients of maximum degree $2 i+1$. We want to find the optimal hyperparameter value $\hat{k} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Given a family of polynomials $\mathbb{P}_{2 \ell+1}$ and a training set, suppose we have an oracle (i.e. an exact algorithm) that is able to find the polynomial $\hat{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{2 \ell+1}$ with optimal coefficients with respect to the square loss objective

$$
\mathcal{L}(X, Y, p)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-p\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}_{2 \ell+1}
$$

1. Show that when the optimization is performed on each family in $\mathcal{P}_{k}$, the lowest score is achieved when $\hat{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{2 k+1} \backslash \mathbb{P}_{2 k-1}$ (i.e., $\hat{p}$ will be of degree $2 k+1$ ).

Answer:
Note: We should consider polynomials of the following type, not the odd-ordered polynomials stated in the question previously

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{1}=w_{1} x \\
& \mathcal{P}_{3}=w_{1} x-w_{2} x^{3} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{5}=w_{1} x-w_{2} x^{3}+w_{3} x^{5} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{7}=w_{1} x-w_{2} x^{3}+w_{3} x^{5}-w_{3} x^{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also remember the taylor-series approximation of $\sin (x)$

$$
\sin (x)=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\frac{x^{7}}{7!} \cdots
$$

The loss can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(X, Y, p, k) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-p\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}_{2 \ell+1} . \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sin \left(x_{i}\right)-p_{2 k+1}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \quad \text { all terms in taylor series expansion will be eliminated up to } 2 \mathbf{k}+1 \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}\left(x_{i}^{2 k+3}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}\left(x_{i}^{4 k+6}\right) \\
& >\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}\left(x_{i}^{4(k+1)+6}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}\left(x_{i}^{4 k+10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. What potential issue with using cross-validation does this demonstrate?

Answer:
When fitting a model, even when using cross-validation, we can still choose overly complex models. In this case, it occurred because of a special relationship between our data and the family of functions we were using to approximate it.
3. Suppose we add noise to the data, $\tilde{Y}=\left\{y_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$. For which values of $\sigma^{2}$ will the result from part 1 hold with $>95 \%$ probability?
Answer:
This question was mistakenly added, please consider next question for a similar intuition.
4. Suppose we widen the boundaries of $X$ to $(-2 \pi, 2 \pi)$. Write a short script to simulate samples ( $X_{i}, \tilde{Y}_{i}$ ) with different values of $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ and use 10 -fold cross-validation to find corresponding optimal values $\hat{k}_{i}$. How do $\mathcal{L}\left(X_{i}, \hat{Y}_{i}, p\right)$ and $\hat{k}_{i}$ behave as $k$ and $\sigma^{2}$ increase? Answer:

As $\sigma^{2}$ begins to increase, we will and no longer have a special relation between our learned function and $\sin (x)$. This means that we will no longer be guaranteed to get the more complex model when using cross-validation.


## Problem 2 (Classification):

Consider the data set plotted below,


Show that $a=\frac{1}{\|w\|}$. How would $L_{2}$ regularization on $w$ affect the margin around $w^{T} x=0$ ?
Answer:
First we use the fact that the minimal euclidean distance from any point on a plane $\left(w^{T} x=b\right)$ to the origin is $\frac{|b|}{\|w\|}$ We know that our other vectors are $w^{T} x+b=1$ and $w^{T} x+b=-1$.

This is re-written as $w^{T} x=1-b$ and $w^{T} x=-1-b$.

The distance between these two vectors is then $\frac{2}{\|w\|}$.
Therefore $a$ is $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$.
We see that the margin around $w^{T} x=0$ is maximized when $\|w\|$ is minimized.

