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## Problem 1 (Regression ):

Let $D=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the training data that you are given. To predict $y$ as $\mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}$ for some parameter vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we can use

The ordinary least square optimization (OLS) problem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{R}(\mathbf{w})=\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ridge regression optimization problem with parameter $\lambda>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{R}_{\text {ridge }}(\mathbf{w})=\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2}+\lambda \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{w}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the OLS and ridge estimator as, $\hat{w}=\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} y$ and $\hat{w}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)=\left(X^{T} X+\lambda I_{d}\right)^{-1} X^{T} y$, respectively.

## Regression and Shrinkage

1. Let $U \Sigma V^{T}$ be the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of $X$. What is $\hat{w}$ ? Here we use the compact SVD. $X_{n \times d}=U_{n \times r} \Sigma_{r \times r} V_{d \times r}^{T}$, where $r \leq \min \{m, n\}$. Assume $X^{T} X$ is invertible.
(a) $V \Sigma U^{T} y$
(b) $V \Sigma^{-1} U^{T} y$
(c) $V \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma U^{T} Y$
(d) $V \Sigma^{-2} \Sigma U^{T} y$

## Solution:

(b) and (d) are both correct solutions.

Both the OLS and the ridge estimators can be rewritten in term of the SVD matrices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{w}} & =\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2} \mathbf{V}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-2} \mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. What is $\hat{w}_{\text {ridge }}$ ?
(a) $V(\Sigma+\lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma U^{T} y$
(b) $V\left(\Sigma^{2}+\lambda I\right)^{-1} \Sigma U^{T} y$
(c) $V(\lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma U^{T} y$
(d) $V\left(\Sigma^{2}+\lambda I\right) \Sigma U^{T} y$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (b).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda) & =\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2} \mathbf{V}^{T}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \Sigma \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\mathbf{V}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\mathbf{V}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The ridge penalty term, $\lambda w^{T} w$, :
(a) shrinks the low variance components
(b) shrinks the high variance components
(c) amplifies the low variance components
(d) does not change the components

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).
Writing $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j j}=d_{j j}$ we have: $d_{j j}^{-1} \geq \frac{d_{j j}}{d_{j j}^{2}+\lambda}$ for all $\lambda>0$
Thus, the ridge penalty will shrink the singular values and the low variance components will be shrunk to a greater extent.

## Regression and Bias

4. Compute $\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}[\hat{w}]$.
(a) $w$
(b) $\left(X^{T} X\right) w$
(c) $\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} w$
(d) $2 w$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).
$\left.\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}[\hat{w}]=\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}\left[\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} y\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}\left[\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T}(X w+\varepsilon)\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}\left[w+\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} \varepsilon\right)\right)\right]=w$
5. Compute $\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \mid X}\left[\hat{w}_{\text {ridge }}\right]$.
(a) $\left(X^{T} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right) w$
(b) $w$
(c) $\left(X^{T} X\right) w$
(d) $\left(X^{T} X-\lambda I\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right) w$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \hat{\mathbf{w}}\right] \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right] \neq \mathbf{w}$ for any $\lambda>0$. Hence, the ridge estimator is biased.
6. Pick the true statements.
(a) The Ordinary Least Squares estimator is biased.
(b) The ridge regression estimator is biased.

## Solution:

Only (b) is True.
We can see that $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right] \neq \mathbf{w}$ for any $\lambda>0$. Hence, the ridge estimator is biased.
7. When $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, all the regression weights converge to:
(a) 1
(b) 0
(c) $\infty$
(d) $\pi$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (b).
When $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right]=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}=0_{d}
$$

All the regression coefficients are shrunken towards zero as the penalty parameter increases.
Variance of Regression Estimates
8. Compute the variance of $\hat{w}$.
$\operatorname{Var}(A Y)=A \operatorname{Var}(Y) A^{T}$
(a) $\left(X^{T} X\right) \sigma^{2}$
(b) $\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} \sigma^{2}$
(c) $\sigma^{2} / 2$
(d) $2 \sigma^{2}$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (b).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{w}) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T}(X w+\varepsilon)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T}(\varepsilon)\right) \\
& =\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} \operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon) X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Compute the variance of $\hat{w}_{\text {ridge }}$.
(a) $\sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right)\left[\left(X^{T} X+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}$
(b) $\sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X-\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right)\left[\left(X^{T} X-\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}$
(c) $\sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X+2 \lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right)\left[\left(X^{T} X+2 \lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}$
(d) $\sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X+\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(X^{T} X\right)\left[\left(X^{T} X+\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).
We have: $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)=\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \hat{\mathbf{w}}$
We define: $\Omega_{\lambda}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)$
It can be seen that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right] & =\operatorname{Var}\left[\Omega_{\lambda} \hat{\mathbf{w}}\right] \\
& =\Omega_{\lambda} \operatorname{Var}[\hat{\mathbf{w}}] \Omega_{\lambda}^{T} \\
& =\sigma^{2} \Omega_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \Omega_{\lambda}^{T} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we have used the fact that $\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{A Y})=\mathbf{A} \operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{A}^{T}$ for a non random matrix $\mathbf{A}$, and the fact that $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$
10. $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{w}) \preceq \operatorname{Var} \hat{w}_{\text {ridge }}$. This statement is:
(Try to prove your statement)
(a) True
(b) False

## Solution:

The given statement is False.
Comparing it to the variance of the OLS estimator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\mathbf{w}}]-\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right] & =\sigma^{2}\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}-\Omega_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \Omega_{\lambda}^{T}\right] \\
& =\sigma^{2} \Omega_{\lambda}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}+\lambda\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{I}+\lambda\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right)^{T}-\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right] \Omega_{\lambda}^{T} \\
& =\sigma^{2} \Omega_{\lambda}\left[2 \lambda\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-2}+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-3}\right] \Omega_{\lambda}^{T} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\left[2 \lambda \mathbf{I}+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference is non-negative definite. Hence, the variance of the OLS estimator exceeds that of the ridge estimator.

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\mathbf{w}}] \succeq \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right]
$$

11. When $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the variance of the ridge estimator,
(a) reduces to zero
(b) converges to 1
(c) increases to $\infty$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).
Now, let us look at the case where $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text {ridge }}(\lambda)\right]=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{2} \Omega_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \Omega_{\lambda}^{T}=0_{d}
$$

The variance of the ridge estimator vanishes. Hence, the variance of the ridge regression coefficient estimates decreases towards zero as the penalty parameter becomes large.

## Regularized loss for regression

In this problem you will help Ada solve a linear regression problem. From the domain experts she has learned that it makes sense to use the following regularizer ${ }^{1}$,

$$
R(\mathbf{w})=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left|w_{i}-w_{i+1}\right|
$$

for the weight vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. She is given $n$ data points $\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, where each $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and each $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, she has to minimize the following objective

$$
f(\mathbf{w})=\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}_{\operatorname{loss}\left(\mathbf{w} \mid y_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)}}_{L(\mathbf{w})}+\lambda R(\mathbf{w})
$$

12. Ada wrote a program and then solved the above problem for the same data points and four different positive penalizers $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\lambda_{4}$. Unfortunately, she has misnamed the files holding the results and does not know which file corresponds to which $\lambda_{i}$. Your task is to help Ada by assigning to each file the corresponding $\lambda_{i}$ that was used. Try to justify your answer.
Match the following computed weight vectors, $\mathbf{w}^{*}$, to the corresponding $\lambda \mathrm{s}$ used.
[^0]| File name | Computed weight vector $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ | Penalizer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| solution_a.pkl | $(1,1,2,2,1,1)$ |  |
| solution_b.pkl | $(9,10,10,8,2,2)$ |  |
| solution_c.pkl | $(2,2,4,5,5,5)$ |  |
| solution_d.pkl | $(1,2,2,2,3,1)$ |  |

## Solution:

| File name | Computed weight vector $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ | Penalizer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| solution_a.pkl | $(1,1,2,2,1,1)$ | $\lambda_{4}$ |
| solution_b.pkl | $(9,10,10,8,2,2)$ | $\lambda_{1}$ |
| solution_c.pkl | $(2,2,4,5,5,5)$ | $\lambda_{3}$ |
| solution_d.pkl | $(1,2,2,2,3,1) \lambda_{2}$ |  |

Take any $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ satisfying $R(\mathbf{w})<R\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)$ that are optimal for some $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$. Then, because they are optimal for the corresponding losses

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\mathbf{w})+\lambda R(\mathbf{w}) & \leq L\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda R\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right), \text { and } \\
-L(\mathbf{w})-\lambda^{\prime} R(\mathbf{w}) & \leq-L\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda^{\prime} R\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding both equations we have $\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) R(\mathbf{w}) \leq\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) R\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)$. Because $R(\mathbf{w}) \leq R\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)$, the above is satisfied if $\lambda \geq \lambda^{\prime}$, and this inequality has to be strict as $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$ by assumption.
Because the regularizer for the four parameter vectors evaluates to $2,9,3$ and 4 respectively, this means that the order is $\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}$.
13. Ada's colleague Alan wrote another program to solve the same optimization problem, but arrived at a different optimum for the same penalizer $\lambda>0$.
Does this mean that one of them has an implementation bug? Justify your answer (for yourself).
(a) Yes
(b) No

## Solution:

The correct answer is (b). No it does not, consider the case where all $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and all $y_{i}$ are equal to zero. Then any constant vector is a solution.
14. To ensure that her algorithm is correctly implemented, Ada wants to implement the following test procedure. First, come up with some synthetic distribution $P(\mathbf{x}, y)$ where the data comes from. Then, compute the optimal vector $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ on a finite sample from $P(\mathbf{x}, y)$, and finally compute the generalization error of $\mathbf{w}^{*}$. If she defined the distribution generating the data as

$$
P(\mathbf{x}, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{8} & \text { if } \mathbf{x} \in\{0,1\}^{3} \text { and } y=x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{3}, \text { or } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and she computed the vector $\mathbf{w}_{*}=(2,2,2)$ on the finite sample, what is the generalization error?
(a) $\frac{1}{2}$
(b) $\frac{1}{4}$
(c) $\frac{1}{8}$
(d) $\frac{1}{16}$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).

Note that there will be no loss if $x_{1}=0$, since in this case $\mathbf{w}_{*}^{\top} x=y$. On the other hand if $x_{1}=1$ then the loss is always 1 irrespective of the values of $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, since in this case $\mathbf{w}_{*}^{\top} x=2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{3}=$ $x_{1}+y=1+y$. Hence, the expected loss is equal to $1 \cdot P\left(x_{1}=1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.

## Problem 2 ( Perceptron ):

15. Construct a perceptron which correctly classifies the following data. Choose appropriate values for the weights $\mathrm{w} 0, \mathrm{w} 1$ and w 2

| Training Example | x1 | x2 | class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| b | 2 | 0 | -1 |
| c | 1 | 1 | +1 |

(a) $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}=-5, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1}}=2, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{2}}=4$
(b) $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}=5, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1}}=2, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{2}}=-4$
(c) $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}=-5, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1}}=0, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{2}}=-4$
(d) $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}=5, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1}}=2, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{2}}=4$

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).
Solution: We can plot the data and trace a separation line. This line has slope $-1 / 2$ and $\times 2$-intersect $5 / 4$. $x 2=5 / 4-x 1 / 2$ i.e. $2 x 1+4 x 2-5=0$ Thus we can choose , $w 0=-5, w 1=2, w 2=4$
16. Use the perceptron learning algorithm on the data above, using a learning rate $\nu$ of 1.0 and initial weight values of $\mathrm{w} \mathbf{0}=-0.5, \mathrm{w} \mathbf{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{w} \mathbf{2}=1$.
Choose the correctly filled table from the options below. In practice, we would apply stochastic gradient descent. But to facilitate this exercise, we do not pick the data-points at random. Instead, we take a, b and c sequentially.

| Iteration i | w 0 | w 1 | w 2 | Training Example (a, b or c ) | Class | $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{w} 0+\mathrm{w} 1 \times 1+\mathrm{w} 2 \times 2$ | Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -0.5 | 0 | 1 | a. | - | 0.5 | Update |
| 2 | -1.5 | 0 | 0 | b. | - | -1.5 | None |
| 3 | -1.5 | 0 | 0 | c. | + | -1.5 | Update |
| 4 | -0.5 | 1 | 1 | a. | - | 0.5 | Update |
| 5 | -1.5 | 1 | 0 | b. | - | 0.5 | Update |

(a)

| Iteration i | w 0 | w 1 | w 2 | Training Example ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ or c$)$ | Class | $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{w} 0+\mathrm{w} 1 \times 1+\mathrm{w} 2 \times 2$ | Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -0.5 | 0 | 1 | a. | + | 0.5 | None |
| 2 | -0.5 | 0 | 1 | b. | + | -1.5 | Update |
| 3 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | c. | - | -1.5 | None |
| 4 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | a. | + | 0.5 | None |
| 5 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | b. | + | 0.5 | None |

(b)

| Iteration i | w 0 | w 1 | w 2 | Training Example ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ or c$)$ | Class | $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{w} 0+\mathrm{w} 1 \times 1+\mathrm{w} 2 \times 2$ | Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -0.5 | 0 | 1 | a. | - | 0.5 | Update |
| 2 | -1.5 | 1 | 1 | b. | - | 1.5 | Update |
| 3 | -1.5 | 0 | 0 | c. | + | -1.5 | None |
| 4 | -0.5 | 1 | 1 | a. | - | 0.5 | Update |
| 5 | -1.5 | 1 | 0 | b. | - | 0.5 | Update |

(c)

## Solution:

The correct answer is (a).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This regularizer makes sense if we would like to prefer solutions whose entries do not change much between adjacent coordinates.

